

1 GABRIEL L. GRASSO, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 7358  
2 **GABRIEL L. GRASSO, P.C.**  
411 South 6<sup>th</sup> Street  
3 Las Vegas, NV 89101  
T: (702) 868-8866  
4 F: (702) 868-5778  
E: gabriel@grassodefense.com  
5 Attorney for RODENAS

6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7  
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
10 )  
Plaintiff, )  
11 ) Case No.: 2:16-cr-00066-GMN-EJY-1  
vs. )  
12 ) STIPULATION TO CONTINUE  
ARMANDO RODENAS, )  
13 ) REVOCATION HEARING  
14 ) (FIRST REQUEST)  
Defendant. )  
15 \_\_\_\_\_ )

16 *Certification:* This stipulation is filed pursuant to General Order 2007-04.

17 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the defendant  
18 ARMANDO RODENAS through his attorney GABRIEL L. GRASSO, ESQ., and the United  
19 States of America, through BIANCA R. PUCCI ESQ., Assistant United States Attorney, that  
20 the revocation hearing currently scheduled for November 14, 2019, at 3:30 p.m., be  
21 vacated and continued to a date and time convenient to this court, but no event earlier than  
22 60 days.

23 Pursuant to General Order No. 2007-04, this stipulation is entered and based upon  
the following:

- 24 1. RODENAS is currently set for Revocation Hearing on Thursday, November 14,  
25 2019.
- 26 2. RODENAS is out of custody on Supervised Release and does not oppose to  
27 the continuance.
- 28 3. The defense requests this continuance of the Supervised Release violation  
hearing in order to move forward with its own separate forensic examination of

the subject phone in one of the violation allegations. This is required in order for the defense to complete the investigation into the discovery materials.

4. The parties agree to the continuance.
5. Denial of this request for continuance would deny the defense sufficient time to be able to assist in defendant's revocation hearing.
6. Due to scheduling concerns, both parties request the violation hearing be set sometime during January, 2020 on a date convenient to the Court.
7. This is the first request for a continuance of the revocation hearing in this case.

DATED this 11<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2019.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY:

/s/ Bianca R. Pucci  
BIANCA R. PUCCI  
Assistant United States Attorney

/s/ Gabriel L. Grasso  
GABRIEL L. GRASSO  
Attorney for RODENAS

GABRIEL L. GRASSO, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 7358  
**GABRIEL L. GRASSO, P.C.**  
411 South 6<sup>th</sup> Street  
Las Vegas, NV 89101  
T: (702) 868-8866  
F: (702) 868-5778  
E: gabriel@grassodefense.com  
Attorney for RODENAS

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ARMANDO RODENAS,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2:16-cr-00066-GMN-EJY-1

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE  
REVOCATION HEARING

(FIRST REQUEST)

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

Based upon the submitted Stipulation, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

1. The defense requests this continuance of the Supervised Release violation hearing in order to move forward with its own separate forensic examination of the subject phone in one of the violation allegations. This is required in order for the defense to complete the investigation into the discovery materials.
2. The parties agree to the continuance.

///

///

1 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

2 Denial of this request for continuance would deny the parties herein sufficient time  
3 an opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for the violation  
4 hearing, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

5 Additionally, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of  
6 justice.  
7

8 **ORDER**

9  
10 **IT IS ORDERED** that the revocation hearing currently scheduled for November 14,  
11 2019, at 3:30 p.m., be vacated and continued to January 23, 2020, at at the hour 10:30 a.m.  
12 in Courtroom 7D before Judge Gloria M. Navarro.

13 IT IS SO ORDERED:  
14

15   
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

16  
17 DATED: October 17, 2019  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28